			ORDER FO	OR SUP	PLIES OR SERV	/ICES				PAGE	OF PAGES		
MPORTANT: I	Mark all pack	ages and papers				· ·				1	10		
1. DATE OF ORD		ONTRACT NO. (If a	any)						6. SHIP TO:		1		
08/28/201	EP-	-C-11-037	.,			a. NAME	OF CC	NSIGNEE					
	. 2		T			_							
3. ORDER NO.					EFERENCE NO.	CPOD							
0003			PR-OF	RD-12-	02286								
CPOD		orrespondence to)					vir	onmental Prot		_			
26 West N	Martin L	Protecti uther Kin		7				ti Procuremen Martin Luthen	_				
Mail Code		0.60				c. CITY				d. STATI	e. ZIP CODE		
Cincinnat	:1 OH 45	268				Cinci	nna	ti		OH	45268		
7. TO: (b)(4						f. SHIP V	IA				110200		
a. NAME OF CON TETRA TEC		С						8. TY	PE OF ORDER				
b. COMPANY NA	ME					a. PU	IRCHA	SE		X b. DELIVER	RY		
c. STREET ADDR 1881 CAME		ONS DRIVE				REFERE	NCE Y	OUR:		•	g instructions on the		
SUITE 200)									to instructions of	livery order is subject ontained on this side		
(b)(4)						Please for	rnich th	ne following on the terms		only of this form	and is issued rms and conditions		
						and cond	itions s	pecified on both sides of			mbered contract.		
d. CITY RESTON				e. STATE VA	f. ZIP CODE 201911519	this order and on the attached sheet, if any, including delivery as indicated.							
9. ACCOUNTING See Sched		RIATION DATA			•	10. REQU	JISITIO	NING OFFICE	1				
	LASSIFICATIO	N (Check approp				1				12. F.O.B. P	OINT		
a. SMALL	X b. C	THER THAN SMA	LL C.	DISADVAN	ITAGED d. Wo	OMEN-OWNE	D	e. HUBZone		Destina	ation		
f. SERVICE- VETERAL	-DISABLED N-OWNED		OWNED SMALL INDER THE WOS			EDWOSB							
	13	3. PLACE OF		1	4. GOVERNMENT B/L	NO.		15. DELIVER TO F.O.B ON OR BEFORE (Da		16. DISCO	OUNT TERMS		
a.INSPECTION Destinati	ion	b.ACCEPT Destin						10 Days Aft					
					17. SCHEDULE (Se	ee reverse foi	Rejec	l tions)					
1						QUANTITY	/	UNIT		1	QUANTITY		
ITEM NO. (a)		_	LIES OR SERVIO	CES		ORDERED (c)				DUNT f)	ACCEPTED (g)		
	OUNS Num												
		with Coal entally-Co		d Wor	ld								
		lliam Ýel											
	Continue	d											
	18. SHIPPING	POINT		-	19. GROSS SHIPPING	WEIGHT		20. INVOICE NO.			(17(h)		
	18. SHIPPING	POINT			19. GRUSS SHIPPING	WEIGHT		20. INVOICE NO.			TOTAL (Cont.		
ŀ				21	. MAIL INVOICE TO:			1			r ages)		
	a. NAME		RTP Fina	nce Če	enter				\$170,	845.00	◀		
SEE BILLING INSTRUCTIONS	b. STREET AL	NDECC	HC Design		-1 D11	- 7							
011 051/5005	(or P.O. Box)				al Protection		сУ				17(i)		
			RTP-Finance Center (D143- 109 TW Alexander Drive			02)					GRAND		
			100 111 11.	rename	CI DIIVC						TOTAL		
-	c. CITY					d, ST/	ATE	e. ZIP CODE	\$170,	845.00			
	Durha	am				И		27711					
22. UNITED S	TATES OF							23. NAME (Typed)					
	BY (Signature							Camille W.		FICER			

ORDER FOR SUPPLIES OR SERVICES **SCHEDULE - CONTINUATION**

PAGE NO

2

IMPORTANT: Mark all packages and papers with contract and/or order numbers. DATE OF ORDER CONTRACT NO. ORDER NO.

EP-C-11-037 0003 08/28/2012 ITEM NO. SUPPLIES/SERVICES QUANTITY UNIT UNIT **AMOUNT** QUANTITY ORDERED PRICE ACCEPTED (d) (f) (a) (b) (c) (e) (g) Admin Office: CPOD US Environmental Protection Agency 26 West Martin Luther King Drive Mail Code: NWD Cincinnati OH 45268 Period of Performance: 08/28/2012 to 09/30/2013 0001 THRIVING WITH COAL IN AN ENVIRONMENTALLY 170,845.00 CONSTRAINED WORLD Award Type: Cost-plus-fixed-<u>fee</u> s(b)(4) Total Estimated Cost: Fixed Fee: \$ (b)(4) Completion Form Accounting Info: 11-12-C-261E000-203FA7A-2532-12261EC02 8-001 BFY: 11 EFY: 12 Fund: C Budget Org: 261E000 Program (PRC): 203FA7A Budget (BOC): 2532 DCN - Line ID: 12261EC028-001 Funding Flag: Partial Funded: \$44,640.00 Accounting Info: 12-13-C-261E000-301FK8-2532-12261EC028 -002 BFY: 12 EFY: 13 Fund: C Budget Org: 261E000 Program (PRC): 301FK8 Budget (BOC): 2532 DCN - Line ID: 12261EC028-002 Funding Flag: Partial Funded: \$126,205.00 The obligated amount of award: \$170,845.00. The total for this award is \$170,845.00

TOTAL CARRIED FORWARD TO 1ST PAGE (ITEM 17(H))

AMENDMI	ENT OF SOL	ICITATION/MODIFIC	ATION OF C	ONTRACT		1. CONTRACT ID CODE	PAGE	OF PAGES
2. AMENDME	ENT/MODIFICAT	TON NO.	3. EFFECTIVE	DATE 4	4. REC	UISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.	5. PROJECT	NO. (If applicable)
001			09/24/2	013 E	PR-0	RD-12-02286		
6. ISSUED B	iΥ	CODE	CPOD		7. AD	MINISTERED BY (If other than Item 6)	CODE	
26 West Mail Co		l Protection Luther King D						
8. NAME AND	D ADDRESS OF	CONTRACTOR (No., stree	t, county, State and	I ZIP Code)	(x) 9A	. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO.		
ATTN (b) 1881 CA SUITE 2 (b)(4) RESTON	00 VA 20191:	MONS DRIVE		Ì	9B × 10 E1	A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO. 10 0 3 B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	10.	
CODE (b)(4)		FACILITY COL	DE	0	8/28/2012		
			11. THIS ITE	 EM ONLY APPLIES TO AN	MEND!	MENTS OF SOLICITATIONS		
THE PLAC virtue of th to the solic	CE DESIGNATED is amendment you citation and this a NTING AND APPL nedule	O FOR THE RECEIPT OF our desire to change an offer mendment, and is received ROPRIATION DATA (If rec	OFFERS PRIOR or already submit of prior to the ope	TO THE HOUR AND DA ted, such change may be i ening hour and date specifi	TE SP made ied.	ILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGEMENT TO ECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOU by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or DIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DE	OUR OFFER. or letter makes	If by reference
CHECK ONE	A. THIS CHAI ORDER NO	NGE ORDER IS ISSUED F D. IN ITEM 10A.	PURSUANT TO:	(Specify authority) THE C	CHANG	GES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN T	HE CONTRAC	CT .
				ODIFIED TO REFLECT TO JRSUANT TO THE AUTH INTO PURSUANT TO AU		MINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes ' OF FAR 43.103(b). ITY OF:	in paying offic	θ,
	D. OTHER (S	pecify type of modification	and authority)					
X	Mutual Aq	greement between	the Partie	es and Tetra Tech	n's e	e-mail dated September 24, 20	13	
E. IMPORTAI	NT: Contract	or X is not,	is required t	to sign this document and	return	copies to the issuin	g office.	
Tax ID DUNS Nu The pur until O TOPO: W	Number: (b)(4) this modifica 1, 2013 per t elverton	tion is	to extend the	· Pe	solicitation/contract subject matter where feasi riod of Performance for ctor. This is a No Cos	one (1	
From:	Septembe	rmance End Da r 30, 2013 , 2013	te chang	ed				
		terms and conditions of the IGNER (Type or print)	e document refe	erenced in Item 9A or 10A,		retofore changed, remains unchanged and in fin NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFI		
					Car	nille W. Davis		
15B. CONTR	RACTOR/OFFER	OR		15C. DATE SIGNED	16B.	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		16C. DATE SIGNED
	(Signature of pers	on authorized to sign)	_			(Signature of Contracting Officer)		

 CONTINUATION SHEET
 REFERENCE NO. OF DOCUMENT BEING CONTINUED
 PAGE
 OF

 EP-C-11-037/0003/001
 2
 2

NAME OF OFFEROR OR CONTRACTOR

TETRA TECH EM INC

EM NO.	SUPPLIES/SERVICES (B)	QUANTITY (C)	UNIT (D)		AMOUNT (F)
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(正)	(٢)
	This is a No Cost change.				
	Delivery: 10 Days After Award				
	Delivery Location Code: CPOD				
	CPOD				
	US Environmental Protection Agency				
	Cincinnati Procurement Op. Division				
	26 West Martin Luther King Drive				
	Cincinnati OH 45268 USA				
	Payment:				
	RTP Finance Center				
	US Environmental Protection Agency				
	RTP-Finance Center (D143-02)				
	109 TW Alexander Drive				
	Durham NC 27711				
	FOB: Destination				
	Period of Performance: 08/28/2012 to 10/31/2013				

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT						CONTRACT ID CODE	P	PAGE OF PAGES				
2. AMENDMEN	NT/MODIFICATION	NO.	3. EFFECTIVE	DATE	4. RI		5. PRO	JECT NO. (If applicable)				
002			10/29/2	013								
6. ISSUED BY		CODE	CPOD		7. A	DMINISTERED BY (If other than Item 6)	CODE					
26 West Mail Coo	Martin Lu	Protection ther King D 68	_									
8. NAME AND	ADDRESS OF CON	ITRACTOR (No., street	, county, State and	I ZIP Code)	(x)	A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO.						
TETRA TECH EM INC ATTN (b)(4) 1881 CAMPUS COMMONS DRIVE SUITE 200 (b)(4) RESTON VA 201911519					9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) x 10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO. EP-C-11-037 0003							
(h)	(4)				1	0B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)						
CODE (b)	(4)		FACILITY COL	DE		08/28/2012						
			11. THIS ITE	EM ONLY APPLIES TO A	MEN	DMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS						
separate lette THE PLACE virtue of this to the solicita	EDESIGNATED FOR amendment you de ation and this amend ING AND APPROPI adule	n includes a reference R THE RECEIPT OF (sire to change an offe dment, and is received RIATION DATA (If req	to the solicitation DFFERS PRIOR r already submit d prior to the oper duired)	n and amendment number TO THE HOUR AND DA ted, such change may be ening hour and date specif	ers. F ATE S mad fied.	receipt of this amendment on each copy of the office of the control of the contro	BE RECE UR OFF letter ma	EIVED AT ER. If by akes reference				
CHECK ONE	A. THIS CHANGE ORDER NO. IN	ORDER IS ISSUED F ITEM 10A.	PURSUANT TO:	(Specify authority) THE	CHAI	NGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN TH	HE CON	TRACT				
				ODIFIED TO REFLECT I JRSUANT TO THE AUTH INTO PURSUANT TO AU		ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes i TY OF FAR 43.103(b). ORITY OF:	n paying	office,				
		y type of modification										
Х	Mutual Agree	ement between	the Partie	es and Tetra Tec	h's	e-mail dated October 24, 2013						
E. IMPORTANT	Γ: Contractor	X is not,	is required t	to sign this document and	retur	n copies to the issuing	office.					
Tax ID N DUNS Num The purp 31, 2013 TOPO: Wi LIST OF Reason f	Jumber: (b)(liber: loose of thi B. This ex lliam Yelv CHANGES: For Modific	is modificate tension is verton	tion is due to end Peri	to extend the the governmer od of Perform	e P nt	g solicitation/contract subject matter where feasib eriod of Performance thro shutdown. This is a No (ough					
		ance End Da	te chang	ed								
	october 31,											
	ember 31,	2013										
Continue			- 4				II 6	- J - # t				
	nded herein, all term		e aucument refe	erenceu in item 9A or 10A	16/	neretofore changed, remains unchanged and in ful A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICE						
					Ca	amille W. Davis						
15B. CONTRA	CTOR/OFFEROR			15C. DATE SIGNED	168	3. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		16C. DATE SIGNED				
((Signature of person au	thorized to sign)			-	(Signature of Contracting Officer)						

 CONTINUATION SHEET
 REFERENCE NO. OF DOCUMENT BEING CONTINUED
 PAGE
 OF

 EP-C-11-037/0003/002
 2
 2

NAME OF OFFEROR OR CONTRACTOR

TETRA TECH EM INC

TEM NO.	SUPPLIES/SERVICES	QUANTITY		UNIT PRICE	AMOUNT
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
	This is a No Cost extension.				
	Delivery: 10 Days After Award				
	Delivery Location Code: CPOD				
	CPOD				
	US Environmental Protection Agency				
	Cincinnati Procurement Op. Division				
	26 West Martin Luther King Drive				
	Cincinnati OH 45268 USA				
	De wool				
	Payment: RTP Finance Center				
	US Environmental Protection Agency RTP-Finance Center (D143-02)				
	109 TW Alexander Drive				
	Durham NC 27711				
	FOB: Destination				
	Period of Performance: 08/28/2012 to 12/31/2013				
	151154 51 161161manee. 00/20/2012 to 12/31/2013				
			I		

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFIC	CATION OF CONTRA	ACT	1. CONTRACT ID CODE	PAGE	OF PAGES
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO.	3. EFFECTIVE DATE	4. F		5. PROJECT	NO. (If applicable)
003	11/25/2013	PR	-ORD-14-00228		
6. ISSUED BY CODE		7.	ADMINISTERED BY (If other than Item 6)	CODE	
CPOD US Environmental Protection 26 West Martin Luther King : Mail Code: NWD Cincinnati OH 45268	2 1				
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., stree	et county State and ZIP Code	1)	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO.		
TETRA TECH EM INC ATTN (b)(4) 1881 CAMPUS COMMONS DRIVE SUITE 200	,, 00011), 0010 110 211 0000	(x)	9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER	RNO.	
b)(4) RESTON VA 201911519			EP-C-11-037 0003		
(b)(4)	I=		10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)		
CODE (b)(4)	FACILITY CODE		08/28/2012		
	11. THIS ITEM ONLY	APPLIES TO AME	DMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS		
virtue of this amendment you desire to change an off to the solicitation and this amendment, and is receive 12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If respectively) See Schedule 13. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO I	d prior to the opening hou quired)	r and date specified Net I		\$7, 300.49	
			ANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN		
appropriation date, etc.) SET FORT C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMEN			ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as change RITY OF FAR 43.103(b). ORITY OF:	es in paying onice	,
D OTHER (Coasts Assessment) Factor					
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification	**	t hotrroop	the Partice		
X FAR 52.242-3 and Mu: E. IMPORTANT: Contractor X is not,			urn copies to the issu	ing office	
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION Tax ID Number (b)(4) DUNS Number: The purpose of this modification the final invoice and trailing TOPO: William Yelverton LIST OF CHANGES:	(Organized by UCF section	on headings, includi	ng solicitation/contract subject matter where fea	asible.)	cover
Reason for Modification : Fu					
Total Amount for this Modifi	cation: \$7,30	00.49			
Continued					
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of t	he document referenced in				
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)			6A.NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OF	FIUER (Type or p	mic)
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR	15C. DA		BB. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		16C. DATE SIGNED
(Signature of person authorized to sign)			(Signature of Contracting Officer)		11/25/2013

 CONTINUATION SHEET
 REFERENCE NO. OF DOCUMENT BEING CONTINUED
 PAGE
 OF

 EP-C-11-037/0003/003
 2
 3

NAME OF OFFEROR OR CONTRACTOR

TETRA TECH EM INC

TEM NO. (A)	SUPPLIES/SERVICES (B)	QUANTITY (C)	UNIT	UNIT PRICE (E)	AMOUNT (F)
(44)	New Total Amount for this Award: \$178,145.49	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	(2)	(4)	(1)
	Incremental Funded Amount changed				
	From: \$170,845.00 To: \$178,145.49				
	To: \$178,145.49				
	CHANGES FOR LINE ITEM NUMBER: 1				
	Total Amount changed from \$170,845.00 to				
	\$178,145.49				
	NEW ACCOUNTING CODE ADDED:				
	Account code:				
	13-14-C-261E000-301FK8XPW-253226A6F-14261EC401-0				
	01 Beginning FiscalYear 13				
	Ending Fiscal Year 14				
	Fund (Appropriation) C				
	Budget Organization 261E000				
	Program (PRC) 301FK8XPW				
	Budget (BOC) 2532				
	Job # (Site/Project)				
	Cost Organization 26A6F DCN-LineID 14261EC401-001				
	Quantity:(b)(4)				
	Amount: \$ (0)(4)				
	Cost: \$				
	Fee: \$ 00.00				
	The revised cost break-out for the task order is as follows:				
	Cost: (b)(4)				
	Fee: \$				
	TOTAL: \$178,145.49				
	Delivery: 10 Days After Award				
	Delivery Location Code: CPOD				
	CPOD				
	US Environmental Protection Agency Cincinnati Procurement Op. Division				
	26 West Martin Luther King Drive				
	Cincinnati OH 45268 USA				
	Payment:				
	RTP Finance Center				
	US Environmental Protection Agency				
	RTP-Finance Center (D143-02)				
	109 TW Alexander Drive				
	Durham NC 27711				
	FOB: Destination				
	Continued				

CONTINUATION CHEET		PAGE	OF
CONTINUATION SHEET	EP-C-11-037/0003/003	3	3

NAME OF OFFEROR OR CONTRACTOR
TETRA TECH EM INC

м no. А)	SUPPLIES/SERVICES (B)	QUANTITY (C)	UNIT (D)	UNIT PRICE (E)	AMOUNT (F)
-1/	Period of Performance: 08/28/2012 to 12/31/2013	1	(2)	(1)	(1)
	Tellod of Tellotimance: 00/20/2012 to 12/31/2013				
		1			

PERFORMANCE WORK STATEMENT STREAMS II Task Order 0003, Tetra Tech EP-C-11-037

TITLE: Thriving with Coal in an Environmentally-Constrained World

Task Order Manager (TOM)

Alternate Task Order Manager (ATOM)

Name: William Yelverton Name: Rebecca Dodder

Office: ORD/NRMRL/APPCD
109 TW Alexander Dr.

Office: ORD/NRMRL/APPCD
109 TW Alexander Dr.

RTP, NC 27711 RTP, NC 27711

Phone: 919-541-9737 Phone: 919-541-5376 Fax: 919-541-7885

Email: Yelverton.William@epa.gov | Email: Dodder.Rebecca@epa.gov

PERIOD OF PERFORMANCE: August 28, 2012 through September 30, 2012

BACKGROUND

The negative environmental impacts associated with the use of coal as a primary energy source for electricity generation are well known. Combustion byproducts from a traditional pulverized coal-fired (PC) power plant include pollutants such as oxides of sulfur (SO_x) and nitrogen (NO_x), particulate matter (PM), ash and mercury (Hg), which have been implicated as sources of various adverse health effects. While measures have been taken, and numerous mature control options exist, to greatly reduce the amount of these emissions, attention has turned to the large amounts of carbon dioxide (CO_2) released from these facilities. An increase in atmospheric CO_2 , the most abundant and thus most influential greenhouse gas (GHG) has been associated with rises in global mean temperature. It is estimated that reductions in anthropogenic GHG emissions would need to be reduced on the order of 50% to 80% from 2000 levels in order to avert unsustainable changes in climate (IPCC 2007), and achieving such reductions will require a revolution in how energy is produced and used (IEA 2009), likely including measures such as increased energy efficiency and the production of low-carbon energy.

A large portion of U.S. CO_2 emissions result from the use of coal, 35% among major fuel types, yet it is a very important part of our current energy mix, accounting for 45% of domestic electricity production in 2010 (EIA, 2011). Not only is it inexpensive, it is also an extremely reliable, abundant, and – perhaps most importantly – domestic source of fuel. Because of this many industries, and as a result many U.S. jobs, rely on coal. This dependence is especially prominent in EPA Region 8 (R8), which accounts for roughly half of all US coal production (EIA, 2011) and where in 2011 coal was the energy source for 70% of total electric power industry production (EIA, 2012).

Future regulations on CO_2 emissions are widely projected to have major impacts on existing and the prospects for new traditional PC facilities, requiring that emissions from coal use be virtually eliminated in order to meet GHG mitigation goals. Options to control CO_2 emissions directly from coal-fired units are being investigated, but many near term solutions can be costly in terms of price and efficiency penalty. There are alternative means for utilizing our abundant U.S. coal reserves; however the economic production of low-carbon energy from this domestic resource may require a shift in the way it is currently used.

Technologies currently exist to utilize coal in the production of transportation fuels such as gasoline and diesel. It has been suggested that these technologies could be combined with electricity production at a single facility, resulting in a process that makes more efficient use of the coal inputs than traditional PC facilities. Further, co-processing of biomass with CO₂ capture and storage (CCS) could be added to drastically reduce, and possibly eliminate, any CO₂ emissions from the process (Liu, et.al. 2011). If implemented as part of a comprehensive energy production mix, such a strategy could enable the offset of foreign oil imports for use in transportation fuel production while making use of the abundant supply of U.S. coal to produce low- or zero-carbon forms of energy. This would be especially beneficial in R8 as it could allow for low CO₂ sustainment of coal utilization and production in the region.

While there appears to be much potential benefit from such systems in R8, many questions need to be answered. What are the actual costs to site and construct such a system? How would the impacts and complexities relative to the supply of adequate biomass be overcome in a region with few existing local resources? What are the operational costs, requirements, logistics, etc.? What is the market for its products, including captured CO₂? These are some of the questions this project intends to answer, with the ultimate goal being a detailed blueprint for the turn-key development of such a system in R8.

OBJECTIVE

While conducting Tasks 2 through 4 below, the contractor should consider that the ultimate goal is to provide a detailed plan of how a system utilizing coal and biomass to generate low- or zero-carbon electricity and liquid transportation fuels would be developed in R8 and what steps need be taken to mitigate impacts from the project. Of particular interest is the sustainability of such a facility given the potential for logistical complications with the supply of biomass over time in a region where few native resources exist and there is preference toward not converting land for the purpose of dedicated biomass production. This plan would act as a "turn-key" blueprint for such facilities to be built in R8 either at new sites or via the conversion of existing PC facilities. The final products should be a comprehensive analysis describing how the development of such a facility would be conducted, including all project phases, activities, responsibilities, estimated costs, etc., as well as a report detailing the implications of wide-scale deployment of this technology throughout R8.

TASKS

All Tasks shall be discussed with the TOM during execution and upon completion. Regular updates, via conference call or other means, shall take place as necessary and on a predetermined schedule agreed upon by EPA and contractor personnel following award of the task order. EPA review and approval shall be required before proceeding from one task to the next unless otherwise requested by the TOM.

Task 1: Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP)

The contractor shall provide a QAPP as required by Attachment 1 of this Performance Work Statement (PWS). No work shall be initiated until the QAPP has been reviewed and approved by EPA.

<u>Task 1 Deliverable</u>: The contractor shall provide a completed version of the QAPP within four (4) weeks after award of the task order. EPA will submit the document for internal QA review and return it to the contractor as soon as available. The contractor shall address all comments and QA review questions and return a final version of the QAPP within two (2) weeks.

Task 2: Site Identification

The Contractor shall, in collaboration with the TOM, recommend a site within R8 which would be suitable for development of a facility utilizing coal and biomass to produce liquid transportation fuels and

electricity with CCS as well as a sustainable inflow of biomass feedstocks. The facility may either be a greenfield site, where a new facility would be developed, or that of an existing PC power plant which could be converted. Special considerations should be made for those locations where job opportunities in the region will be positively impacted, either via new employment opportunities where needed or salvaged jobs at a location likely to be taken off-line in the near future (e.g. 10-15 years), in conjunction with other considerations such as cost/availability of fuels and product market opportunities. The location chosen will be the basis for a detailed site analysis as discussed in the following Tasks.

<u>Task 2 Deliverable</u>: The contractor shall provide a draft technical memorandum describing, in detail, the location of and specific reasoning for its recommendation within four (4) weeks after notification of QAPP approval. EPA will review the recommendations and respond with any comments, questions, etc. within two (2) weeks. The contractor shall address any comments and provide a final technical memorandum within two (2) weeks.

Task 3: Outline for Site Development Analysis

The contractor shall provide a written report outlining a recommended plan, including all activities, required to develop a facility in EPA R8 which utilizes coal and biomass to produce liquid transportation fuels and electricity with CCS at the site specified in Task 2. Each phase, section, activity, etc. should be accompanied by a brief description to include information such as preliminary time estimates, costs, and potential obstacles. These descriptions need only to provide a broad overview of the various phases required to develop the facility (e.g. a few sentences for each will likely suffice) as they will be expanded on in Task 4. Examples include but should not be limited to land/site procurement, permitting, grid connection, water requirements, fuel supplies (availability, delivery logistics, contracts, lifespan of fuel supplies under current logistics, etc.), construction (specific by system), product markets/delivery (power purchase agreement[s] for electricity, liquid fuels sales), and CO2 sale/storage including transport. This plan shall be reviewed and approved by the TOM before proceeding to Task 4.

<u>Task 3 Deliverable</u>: The contractor shall provide a draft outline within six (6) weeks of completion of Task 2. EPA will review and respond with any comments, questions, etc. within two (2) weeks. The contractor shall address any comments and provide a final outline within two (2) weeks.

Task 4: Detailed Site Development Plan

The contractor shall provide a detailed site analysis recommending a plan for development of the site specified in Task 2. This plan should describe in detail each phase, activity, etc. of the project. Although all aspects noted in the Task 3 deliverable should be addressed, of particular interest would be the details for the following:

- a) A continuous supply of biomass, given that there are little resources in the region and assuming it is preferred that existing lands not be converted for the purpose of dedicated biomass production.
- b) Details of CCS technology including transport of CO₂ to storage or (preferably) enhanced oil recovery (EOR) opportunities.
- c) Estimates of mass, energy, and carbon balances; life cycle GHG emissions and other environmental impacts and analysis of possible uncontrollable land use/value change (N_2 & C cycles).
- d) Fuel and product splits/variations the specific system will be capable of. In essence, would such a system be able to adjust inputs and/or outputs in accordance with market forces to remain economical over time? Also, will the system be able to utilize a wide range of biomass fuels?

<u>Task 4 Deliverable</u>: The contractor shall provide a draft version of the development plan within 12 weeks of completion of Task 3. EPA will review and respond with any comments, questions, etc. within four (4) weeks. The contractor shall address any comments and provide a final outline within four (4) weeks.

Task 5: Summary Report

The contractor shall provide a summary report detailing particular obstacles, regulatory issues, etc. which would need to be overcome and benefits realized in the wide-scale deployment of such facilities in R8. This would include but not be limited to: biomass supply strategies; opportunities for EOR and CO₂ storage; water resources requirements and supply; GHG and other emissions impacts; employment ramifications; and conditions under which adoption of this technology would be economically advantageous / disadvantageous. The report should address regulatory issues relating to generation of electricity and fuels from these facilities, and how they might participate in future energy strategies for meeting environmental policy objectives. The report should also propose metrics for future projects to determine whether plant logistics are continuing in a manner consistent with low carbon generation goals (e.g., should track actual life cycle carbon, not as a limit, but to inform EPA on current thoughts / assumptions on the topic).

Task 5 is not necessarily dependant on those prior to it in the same manner that it is required that Tasks 2 and 3 shall be completed before moving on to Task 4. Therefore it may be carried out in conjunction with Tasks 2 through 4.

<u>Task 5 Deliverable</u>: The contractor shall provide a draft report by <u>June 24, 2013</u>. EPA will review and respond with any comments, questions, etc. within six (6) weeks. The contractor shall address any comments and provide a final report by <u>September 16, 2013</u>.

OTHER DELIVERABLES

Progress Review Meetings

A kick off meeting will be held, via teleconference, within two (2) weeks after award of the Task Order.

<u>Bi-monthly progress review meetings</u> shall be conducted to discuss project status, issues, etc. with interim communication held as often as needed. Prior to the regularly-scheduled meetings, the contractor shall prepare a briefing that reviews the work plan, progress to date, planned approach and activities for the remainder of the project.

The contractor shall prepare a summary of the project meetings and submit the summary to the EPA TOM within five (5) working days after the meetings.

REFERENCES

IPCC (2007) Climate Change 2007: Synthesis report – contribution of working groups 5 I, II and III. In Pachauri RK, Reisinger A (eds) Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Geneva, Switzerland.

IEA (2009) Launching an energy revolution in a time of economic crisis: The case for a low-carbon energy technology platform. La Maddelena to L'Aquila G8 Summit. International Energy Agency: Paris, France

EIA (2011) What is the Role of Coal in the United States?. Updated May, 27 2011. http://www.eia.gov/energy_in_brief/role_coal_us.cfm

EIA (2012) Electric Power Monthly. Electric Power Data by Month and State, 2001 to the Present: Net Generation by State by Type of Producer by Energy Source. http://205.254.135.24/electricity/monthly/

Lu G, Larson ED, Williams RH, Kreutz TG, Guo X (2011) Making Fischer-Tropsch Fuels and Electricity from Coal and Biomass: Performance and Cost Analysis. Energy Fuels 2011, 25:415-437

Attachment 1

QAPP REQUIREMENTS FOR REGION 8 RARE GRANT PROJECT (Thriving with Coal in an Environmentally-Constrained World)

GENERAL REQUIREMENTS: Include cover page, distribution list, approvals, and page numbers.

0. COVER PAGE

Include the project title, revision number, EPA technical lead, EPA division/branch, QA category, organization responsible for QAPP preparation, and date.

1. PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND OBJECTIVES

- 1.1 Describe the objectives of the project and the general process to attain the objectives.
- 1.2 Describe the technology that will be used to convert coal and biomass into liquid transportation fuels and electricity and to capture carbon from the coal and biomass. Describe the current development stage of the technology. Describe the important characteristics of the physical facility/site at which the technology will be deployed.
- 1.3 Identify the technical, environmental, logistical, legal, and economic characteristics of the facility/site that have a bearing on the successful deployment of the technology. Examples of such characteristics are Biomass supply, CCS technology, balances of mass, energy, and carbon, fuel and product splits, land/site procurement, permitting, grid connection, water requirements, fuel supplies (delivery logistics, contracts, etc.), construction (specific by system), product markets/delivery (power purchase agreement[s] for electricity, liquid fuels sales), and CO₂ sale/storage including transport.
- 1.4 Describe the detailed site development plan that will be prepared, including how the development of such a facility/site would be conducted, how the plan will analyze the facility/site characteristics that are identified and how decisions will be reached regarding the feasibility of the facility/site for successful technology deployment.

2. ORGANIZATION AND RESPONSIBILITIES

- 2.1 Identify all project personnel, including independent QA personnel, for each participating organization, and their roles and responsibilities as well as their relationships to other project participants.
- 2.2 Include a project schedule that includes key milestones.

3. ENGINEERING APPROACH

- 3.1 Identify the specific information, including secondary (existing) data, that is needed to analyze the facility/site characteristics. If applicable, identify specific information as being critical or non-critical to meeting the objectives of the project
- 3.2 Specify any requirements (e.g., time periods, applicability to project, and technological similarity) for the information.
- 3.3 Identify the potential source(s) for the information. Discuss the rationale for selecting the source(s) identified. If a hierarchy of sources exists for the gathering of information, specify that hierarchy. Specify that the source(s) will be documented in the detailed site development plan.
- 3.4 Describe the process for analyzing the information and for making decisions about the facility/site development to meet the project objectives, including how this process will be documented.

Attachment 1

4. QUALITY METRICS

- 4.1 Specify the quality metrics for the information, including adequacy for decision-making purposes, source authority, relevance, accuracy, consistency, completeness, and currency, if applicable.
- 4.2 Describe the qualitative or quantitative procedures for assessing the quality of the information, including how assessment results will be documented in the detailed site development plan. Assessment criteria may be strict accept/reject criteria or graduated criteria
- 4.3 Describe how the assessment results will influence the subsequent use of the data.

5. DATA MANAGEMENT

5.1 Describe information storage requirements for both hard copy and electronic data.

6. REPORTING

- 6.1 Describe the project deliverables, including the expected content of the detailed site development plan.
- 6.2 Discuss the expected distribution of the project deliverables.

7. REFERENCES

Provide references either in the body of the text as footnotes or in a separate section.